Michigan Department of Treasury 496 (02/06)

| Aud<br>Issued                                 | ditir<br>dunde     | ng F          | Procedu<br>2 of 1968, as   | ures Re<br>s amended ar   | <b>port</b><br>nd P.A. 71 of 1911                          | 9, as amended.                |                              |   |                        |   |  |  |
|---|--------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------|---|--|--|
| <del></del>                                   |                    |               | vernment Typ               |   |  |                               | Local Unit Nar               | me  |                        | County  |  |  |
| ☐County ☐City ☐Twp ☐Village                   |                    |               |                            |   | □Village   | ⊠Other                        | City of Lap                  | eer Brownfield Redevel  | opment                 | Lapeer  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year End Opinion Date 06/30/07 11/7/07 |                    |               |                            |   | 1  |                               |                              | Date Audit Report Submitted   | i to State             |   |  |  |
| We a  | affirm             | that          | •                          |   | 1  |                               |                              |   |                        | //////////////////////////////////////                    |  |  |
|   |                    |               |                            | ccountants  | s licensed to p  | oractice in M                 | lichigan                     |   |                        |   |  |  |
|   |                    |               | •                          |   | •  |                               | •                            | sed in the financial stateme  | ents incli             | iding the notes or in the                                 |  |  |
| Man   | agem               | nent i        | _etter (rep                | ort of com  | ments and re   | commendat                     | ions).                       | god in the interioral statem  | onto, mon              | ading the notes, of in the                                |  |  |
|   | YES                | 8             | Check e                    | Check each applicable box below. (See instructions for further detail.)   |  |                               |                              |   |                        |   |  |  |
| 1.  | X                  |               | All requir reporting       | ed compo<br>entity not  | nent units/fun<br>es to the finar                          | ds/agencies<br>ncial stateme  | of the local<br>ents as nece | unit are included in the fina<br>ssary.                                   | ncial stat             | ements and/or disclosed in the                            |  |  |
| 2.  | X                  |               | There are (P.A. 27         | e no accur<br>5 of 1980)  | mulated defici<br>or the local u                           | ts in one or<br>nit has not e | more of this exceeded its    | unit's unreserved fund bala<br>budget for expenditures.                   | nces/unre              | estricted net assets                                      |  |  |
| 3.  | ×                  |               | The local                  | l unit is in  | compliance w   | ith the Unifo                 | orm Chart of A               | Accounts issued by the Dep  | cartment               | of Treasury.  |  |  |
| 4.  | X                  |               | The local                  | l unit has a  | adopted a buc  | iget for all re               | equired funds                | ·   |                        |   |  |  |
| 5.  | ×                  |               | A public                   | hearing or  | the budget w   | as held in a                  | ccordance w                  | rith State statute.   |                        |   |  |  |
| 6.  | ×                  |               | The loca other gui         | A public hearing on the budget was held in accordance with State statute.  The local unit has not violated the Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, or other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division.  |  |                               |                              |   |                        |   |  |  |
| 7.  | X                  |               | The loca                   | l unit has r  | not been delin   | quent in dis                  | tributing tax r              | evenues that were collecte  | ed for ano             | ther taxing unit.   |  |  |
| 8.,   | X                  |               | The loca                   | The local unit only holds deposits/investments that comply with statutory requirements.   |  |                               |                              |   |                        |   |  |  |
| 9.  | X                  |               |                            | The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the <i>Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> , as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin).  |  |                               |                              |   |                        |   |  |  |
| 10.   | ×                  |               | that have                  | There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that has not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover. |  |                               |                              |   |                        |   |  |  |
| 11.   | $\times$           |               | The loca                   | l unit is fre   | e of repeated  | comments                      | from previous                | s years.  |                        |   |  |  |
| 12.   | ×                  |               | The audi                   | it opinion is   | s UNQUALIFI  | ED.                           |                              |   |                        |   |  |  |
| 13.   | X                  |               | The loca                   | l unit has d<br>l accountir   | complied with  | GASB 34 o<br>GAAP).           | r GASB 34 a                  | s modified by MCGAA Stat  | ement #7               | and other generally                                       |  |  |
| 14.   | X                  |               | The boar                   | d or coun   | cil approves a   | Il invoices p                 | rior to payme                | ent as required by charter o  | r statute.             |   |  |  |
| 15.   | X                  |               | To our kr                  | nowledge,   | bank reconcil  | liations that                 | were reviewe                 | ed were performed timely.   |                        |   |  |  |
| incl<br>des                                   | uded<br>cripti     | in ti<br>on(s | his or any<br>) of the au  | other authority and   | dit report, no<br>I/or commissi                            | r do they ol<br>on.           | btain a stand                | operating within the boun d-alone audit, please enclosed in all respects. | daries of<br>ose the r | the audited entity and is not name(s), address(es), and a |  |  |
|   |                    |               |                            | e followin  |  | Enclosed                      |                              | Not Required (enter a brief justification)                                |                        |   |  |  |
| Fin   | ancia              | al Sta        | tements                    |   |  | $\boxtimes$                   |                              | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,                                   |                        |   |  |  |
| The letter of Comments and Recommendations    |                    |               |                            |   | ommendation  | s X                           |                              |   |                        |   |  |  |
| Oth   | ner (D             | escrib        | e)                         |   |  |                               |                              |   |                        |   |  |  |
| į.  |                    |               | Accountant (F<br>Lichardso | •   | CONTROL MANAGEMENT AND |                               |                              | Telephone Number<br>517-332-1900  |                        |   |  |  |
|   | et Add             |               |                            |   |  |                               |                              | City  | State                  | Zip   |  |  |
| 10  | 1000 Coolidge Road |               |                            |   |  |                               |                              | East Lansing  | MI                     | 48823   |  |  |

Printed Name

Vickie Crouch

License Number

1101013436

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007



### CONTENTS

|   | PAGE  |
|---|-------|
| INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT  | Ti .  |
| MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  | 3-6   |
| STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET  | 7     |
| STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUE, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE   | 8     |
| NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS   | 9-10  |
| REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  |       |
| STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – BUDGET AND ACTUAL – GENERAL OPERATIONS  | 12    |
| REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING<br>AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN<br>AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE |       |
| WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS  | 13    |
| LETTER OF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS  | 15-16 |



## Layton & Richardson, P.C.

Certified Public Accountants

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Members of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer Lapeer, MI

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the governmental activities of BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF LAPEER, MICHIGAN as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities of Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer, Michigan, as of June 30, 2007, and the results of its operations for the year then ended, in conformity with the U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis listed in the table of contents on pages 3 through 6 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standard Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquires of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

1000 Coolidge Road East Lansing, MI 48823

(517) 332-1900 (517) 332-2082 fax Info@LNRCPA.com

Merrick A. Richardson, CPA Principal Rick@LNRCPA.com

Vickie L. Crouch, CPA, CGFM Principal Vickie@LNRCPA.com

Stephen D. Plumb, JD, CPA Principal Steve@LNRCPA.COM

David Layton, CPA DaveLayton@LNRCPA .com Frayton & Richardson, P.C.

Certified Public Accountants

November 7, 2007 East Lansing, Michigan THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our discussion and analysis of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer's financial performance provides an overview of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. Please read it in conjunction with the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's financial statements.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

• The Reid Corporation Project was approved by the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority on October 26, 2002 in the amount of \$60,150. The 2003 Tax Year was the first year of captured property taxes which may be utilized to reimburse the Reid Corporation, over a maximum of thirty years, for approved expenses in remediation of their environmentally distressed property.

#### USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority as a whole and present a longer-term view of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's finances. Fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's funds- not the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority as a whole. These statements tell how these services were financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending.

#### Reporting the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority as a Whole

One of the most important questions asked about the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's finances is, "Is the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority as a whole better off or worse off as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities report information about the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority as a whole and about its activities in a way that helps answer this question. These statements include all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

These two statements report the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's net assets and changes in them. You can think of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's net assets – the difference between assets and liabilities – as one way to measure the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's financial health, or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's net assets are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating.

In the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities, the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority is shown as a governmental activity while within the City of Lapeer's audit they are shown as a component unit:

• Governmental activities – The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's services are reported here. Property Taxes finance most of these activities.

#### Reporting the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's Most Significant Funds

The fund financial statements provide detailed information about the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's funds. The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's funds utilize the governmental accounting approach.

Governmental funds — Most of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's basic services are reported in governmental funds, which focus on how money flows into and out of those funds and the balances left at year-end that are available for spending. These funds are reported using an accounting method called modified accrual accounting, which measures cash and all other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's general government operations and the basic services it provides. Governmental fund information helps you determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's programs. We describe the relationship (or differences) between governmental activities (reported in the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities) and governmental funds in reconciliation at the bottom of the fund financial statements.

#### THE BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AS A WHOLE

Table 1 was summarized from the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Statement of Net Assets. The net assets of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority decreased from \$2,897.00 to \$1,259.00, a decrease of \$1,638.00 or 56.5%. In the prior year, the net assets increased from \$1,462.00 to \$2,897.00, an increase of \$1,435.00 or 98.2%.

Table 1 Net Assets (In Hundreds)

|   | Governmental Activities 2007 | Governmental Activities 2006 |  |  |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Current and other assets                      | \$12.6                       | \$29.0                       |  |  |
| Total assets                                  | 12.6                         | 29.0                         |  |  |
| Other liabilities                             | 0.0                          | 0.0                          |  |  |
| Total liabilities                             | 0.0                          | 0.00                         |  |  |
| Net assets: Restricted Unrestricted (deficit) | 0.0<br>12.6                  | 0.0<br>29.0                  |  |  |
| Total net assets                              | \$ <u>12.6</u>               | \$29.0                       |  |  |

#### **Governmental Activities**

As stated previously, the net assets of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's governmental activities decreased by \$1,638. Unrestricted net assets – the part of net assets that can be used to finance day –to – day operations without constraints established by debt covenants, enabling legislation, or other legal requirements-is recorded as surplus of \$1,258.56.

Table 2 was summarized from the government-wide Statement of Activities. This table summarizes the government-wide program revenues, other revenues, and expenses of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority.

Table 2 Changes in Net Assets (In Hundreds)

|  | Governmental Activities2007             |             |  | Governmental Activities 2006 |  |  |
|--|---|-------------|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| Revenues                               |   |             |  |                              |  |  |
| Program revenues: Charges for Services | \$                                      | 0.0         | \$   | 0.0                          |  |  |
| Operating grants and contributions     |   | 0.0         |  | 0.0                          |  |  |
| General revenues:                      |   |             |  |                              |  |  |
| Property taxes                         |   | 13.4        |  | 12.9                         |  |  |
| Other                                  | *************************************** | 0.0         |  | 0.0                          |  |  |
| Total Revenues                         | <del></del>                             | 13.4        |  | 12.9                         |  |  |
| Expenses:                              |   |             |  |                              |  |  |
| General Government                     |   | 47.5        | ***************************************            | 15.4                         |  |  |
| Total Expenses                         |   | 47.5        | <u></u>  | 15.4                         |  |  |
| Increase in net assets                 |   |             |  |                              |  |  |
| Before transfers                       |   | (34.1)      |  | (2.5)                        |  |  |
| Transfers                              | <u></u>                                 | <u>17.7</u> | <del>- , - , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</del> | <u>16.9</u>                  |  |  |
| Increase (decrease) in                 |   |             |  |                              |  |  |
| Net Assets                             |   | (16.4)      |  | 14.4                         |  |  |
| Net assets, July 1                     |   | 29.0        | *****  | 14.6                         |  |  |
| Net assets, June 30                    | \$                                      | 12.6        | \$   | <u> 29.0</u>                 |  |  |

Total revenue from all sources totaled \$1,345 which was all from property taxes. The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority also receives a transfer of captured taxes from the General Fund of the City of Lapeer in the amount of \$1,766. The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority captured the City of Lapeer millage rate of 9.8000, the county operation millage rate of 3.7886, and the Intermediate School District combined millage rate of 2.9295.

The governmental activities of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority had an expense of \$4,750 which was for project reimbursement to the Reid Corporation in the amount of \$4,500 and audit fees in the amount of \$250. Due to utilizing full accrual on the government-wide statements, the expenditures for capital assets including infrastructure are not recorded as expenses in the current year but are capitalized on the Statement of Net Assets and are depreciated over the useful life of the asset. Only the current year depreciation would be included as an expense.

#### THE BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY'S FUNDS

The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority received a total of \$3,111 in captured property taxes. The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority had an expense of \$4,750 which was for project reimbursement to the Reid Corporation in the amount of \$4,500 and audit fees in the amount of \$250. Additionally, the district pays an administration fee to the City of Lapeer in the amount of \$196 for accounting services and project management.

#### Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Budgetary Highlights

The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Budget total did not change during the fiscal year.

#### CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

#### **Capital Assets**

The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority does not have any capital assets.

There were no major capital asset events during the current fiscal year.

#### Debt

The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority does not have any outstanding debt.

#### ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND RATES

Projected captured taxable values as determined by the City Assessor

The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority reviews its current budget to determine available fund balances to be appropriated for the new year budget. This along with the projected captured taxable value from the city assessor provides the basis of establishing the annual budget. Funds are appropriated based upon funds required for administration of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority and approved expenses to be reimbursed to the project owner.

#### **Requests for Information**

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the City of Lapeer, Director of Financial Services, 576 Liberty Park, Lapeer, MI 48446.

# STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET ${\sf JUNE~30,\,2007}$

|                                    | GENERAL<br>FUND | ADJUSTMENTS | STATEMENT<br>OF NET<br>ACTIVITIES |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| ASSETS Cash - checking             | \$1,258.56_     | \$          | \$1,258.56                        |  |  |
| LIABILITIES  Due to other funds    | \$              | \$          | \$                                |  |  |
| FUND BALANCE                       | 1,258.56        |             | 1,258.56                          |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE | \$1,258.56_     | \$          | \$1,258.56                        |  |  |

# STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUE, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

|   | GENERAL<br>FUND      | ADJUSTMENTS | STATEMENT<br>OF NET<br>ACTIVITIES |  |  |
|---|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| EXPENDITURES General government                                 | \$4,750.00           | \$          | \$4,750.00                        |  |  |
| GENERAL REVENUES Property taxes Transfers - internal activities | 1,345.15<br>1,766.30 |             | 1,345.15<br>1,766.30              |  |  |
| TOTAL GENERAL REVENUES  | 3,111,45             | ···         | 3,111.45                          |  |  |
| CHANGES IN NET ASSETS   | (1,638.55)           |             | (1,638.55)                        |  |  |
| FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS, JULY 1                                 | 2,897.11             |             | 2,897.11                          |  |  |
| FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS, JUNE 30                                | \$ 1,258.56          | \$          | \$ 1,258.56                       |  |  |

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2007

#### NOTE 1: **REPORTING ENTITY**

In accordance with the criteria established by the National Council on Governmental Accounting (NCGA) Statement 3, the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer is considered a component unit of the City of Lapeer, Michigan for financial accounting and reporting purposes. These criteria include: the extent of oversight responsibility, selection of governing authority, designation of management, the ability of the City to significantly influence operations, the accountability for fiscal matters including the level of City financing and/or moral and legal responsibility for long-term debt.

The financial statements of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority are presented as the financial statements of a fund which is an integral part of the financial reporting oversight unit of the City of Lapeer.

#### NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles as applicable to governmental units. The summary of the more significant accounting policies are presented to assist the reader in interpreting the financial statements and other data in this report.

#### A. Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statement (i.e. the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) reports information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer. For the most part, the effect of interfund activities has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities, which are normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for services.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable which a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contribution that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

#### B. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statement is reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

The Governmental fund financial statement is reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period.

#### C. Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Concluded JUNE 30, 2007

| NOTE 3: | TRANSFERS IN/OUT    |
|---------|---------------------|
| INCLES. | TIKANOPPIKO HYJUH E |

FUND TRANSFER IN FUND TRANSFER OUT

Brownfield

Redevelopment

These transfers were made for cash flow purposes.

#### NOTE 4: AUTHORITY'S CASH AND INVESTMENT POOL

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits. For deposits, custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Authority's deposits may not be returned to the government. As of June 30, 2007, \$0 of the Authority's total bank balance of \$1,258.56 (total book balance was \$1,258.56) was exposed to custodial credit risk as it was uninsured and uncollateralized.

The Authority's investment policy requires that only those banks or savings and loan institutions that are members of the FDIC or FSLIC will be considered for depository purposes, but recognizes that it is impractical to insure all bank deposits due to the limitations of depository insurance. As a result, the Authority evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

Credit Risk. The Authority's investments in financial institutions will be held with a qualified institution.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## BUDGET AND ACTUAL

#### GENERAL OPERATIONS

#### FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

|   | BUDGETED AMOUNTS |                      |    |                      |             |                      | VARIANCE<br>FAVORABLE |                      |  |
|---|------------------|----------------------|----|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
|   |                  | ORIGINAL             |    | FINAL                |             | ACTUAL               |                       | (UNFAVORABLE)        |  |
| REVENUES Property taxes   | \$               | 845.58               | \$ | 845.58               | \$          | 1,345.15             | \$                    | 499.57               |  |
| EXPENDITURES Attorney/accounting Project reimbursement                                      |                  | 500.00<br>2,518.48   |    | 500.00<br>2,518.48   |             | 250.00<br>4,500.00   |                       | 250.00<br>(1,981.52) |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES  |                  | 3,018.48             |    | 3,018.48             |             | 4,750.00             |                       | (1,731.52)           |  |
| DEFICIENCY OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES  |                  | (2,172.90)           |    | (2,172.90)           |             | (3,404.85)           | _                     | (1,231.95)           |  |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) Operating transfers in Operating transfers out               |                  | 2,471.79<br>(196.00) |    | 2,471.79<br>(196.00) |             | 1,962.30<br>(196.00) |                       | (509.49)             |  |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)  | ····             | 2,275.79             | -  | 2,275.79             | <del></del> | 1,766.30             |                       | (509.49)             |  |
| EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES<br>AND OTHER SOURCES<br>OVER EXPENDITURES<br>AND OTHER USES |                  | 102.89               |    | 102.89               |             | (1,638.55)           |                       | (1,741.44)           |  |
| FUND BALANCE, JULY 1  |                  | 2,897.11             |    | 2,897.11             |             | 2,897.11             |                       |                      |  |
| FUND BALANCE, JUNE 30   | \$               | 3,000.00             | \$ | 3,000.00             | \$          | 1,258.56             | \$                    | (1,741.44)           |  |

## Layton & Richardson, P.C.

Certified Public Accountants

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Members of the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer Lapeer, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities of Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer, Michigan, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, which collectively comprise Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer, Michigan's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated November 7, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer, Michigan's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

#### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer, Michigan's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer, Michigan, in a separate letter dated November 7, 2007.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Authority, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Fuyton & Slichardson, P. C.

Certified Public Accountants

1000 Coolidge Road East Lansing, MI 48823

(517) 332-1900 (517) 332-2082 fax Info@LNRCPA.com

Merrick A. Richardson, CPA Principal Rick@LNRCPA.com

Vickie L. Crouch, CPA, CGFM Principal Vickie@LNRCPA.com

**Stephen D. Plumb**, JD, CPA *Principal* Steve@LNRCPA.COM

David Layton, CPA
DaveLayton@LNRCPA.com

East Lansing, Michigan November 7, 2007 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK



### Layton & Richardson, P.C.

Members of The Brownfield Redevelopment Authority

Certified Public Accountants

#### LETTER OF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1000 Coolidge Road East Lansing, MI 48823

(517) 332-1900 (517) 332-2082 fax Info@LNRCPA.com

Merrick A. Richardson, CPA Principal Rick@LNRCPA.com

Vickie L. Crouch, CPA, CGFM Principal Vickie@LNRCPA.com

Stephen D. Plumb, JD, CPA Principal Steve@LNRCPA.COM

David Layton, CPA
DaveLayton@LNRCPA.com

of the City of Lapeer Lapeer, Michigan

We have examined the financial statements of Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer, Michigan for the year ended June 30, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated November 7, 2007. As part of our examination, we made a study and evaluation of the system of internal accounting controls to the extent we considered necessary solely to determine the nature, timing and extent of our auditing procedures. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the system of internal accounting control taken as a whole.

The management of Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer, Michigan is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of internal accounting control. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of control procedures. The objectives of a system are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Because of inherent limitations in any system of internal accounting control, errors or irregularities may nevertheless occur and not be detected, Also, projection of any evaluation of the system to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the procedures may deteriorate.

Our study and evaluation made for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph would not necessarily disclose all weaknesses in the system. However, our examination did disclose the following conditions that we believe result in a risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements of Brownfield Redevelopment Authority of the City of Lapeer, Michigan may occur and not be detected within a timely period.

#### Segregation of Duties

Segregation of duties is an arrangement of responsibilities such that the work of one employee serves as a check on the work of others. The least desirable situation is one in which a single employee is responsible for an entire accounting process from the origin of each transaction to the ultimate posting in the general ledger. This situation enhances the likelihood that intentional or unintentional errors will occur and remain undetected.

Because it is often difficult in a small governmental unit to segregate accounting duties, alternative controls should be implemented to compensate for lack of a segregation of duties. Such controls as management supervision are an effective means of improving control and reducing the risk of error without incurring additional cost.

We appreciate the assistance and cooperation extended to us by the Authority's officials and employees during our examination, and we thank them.

This report is intended for the information of the Board of Directors, management, and federal, state and local grantor agencies. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Fayton & Nichardson, P. C.

Certified Public Accountants

East Lansing, Michigan November 7, 2007